arXiv:1210.6714v1 [quant-ph] 25 Oct 2012

?

Complex spectral analysis and test function spaces

Sungyun Kim*

Hoseo University

Gonzalo Ordonez†

Physics and Astronomy Department,

Butler University Indianapolis, IN 46208 USA

(Dated: October 26, 2012)

Abstract

We consider complex spectrum of unstable model Hamiltonian and its physically meaningful regions. It is shown that physically meaningful regions are obtained by taking only Hardy class below part of test functions. Through specific model it is shown that this complex eigenstates with restricted test functions show causality and represent physically meaningful regions in time evolution.

PACS numbers: 02., 02.30-f, 03.65-w

---

*Electronic address: rdecay@gmail.com

†Electronic address: gordonez@butler.edu

I. INTRODUCTION

The introduction of complex eigenstate has a long history. Since Gamow proposed complex energy eigenstate to describe decay process [1], it is widely used for its simplicity and predicting power for decay rate. Matthews and Salam [2–4] developed the concept of an unstable particle in terms of asymptotic states.Nakanishi [5] introduced complex distributions to define a complex eigenstate of the Hamiltonian in Lee's model [6]. The contour deformation method in momentum space is also studied and applied in nuclear physics [7–9]. Sudarshan, Chiu and Gorini [10] constructed complex eigenstates using contour deformation in the complex plane. Bohm and Gadella [11] constructed complex eigenvectors using poles of the S matrix and Hardy class test functions (see also [12]). Prigogine and collaborators studied extensively the properties of complex spectral representations in the Friedrichs model [13], and defined unstable states in Liouville space (see [14] and references therein). Moiseyev [15] introduced complex scaling method to make diverging complex eigenfunctions square integrable functions. In this way the evolution of a resonance state at large distances is "compressed" in a small part of spaces.

But its physical meaning has been in debate. The complex eigenstate itself is not normalized and its resemblance to the real wave function evolution ceases to exist outside certain spacetime region. When the decay occurs it has starting time, and the decay products propagate according to the causality conditions. In other words, its physically meaningful spacetime region is related to its initial conditions. Notable researches to this direction have been done by Bohm and Gadella, who proposed certain class of functions, namely Hardy class functions, should be applied to complex eigenstates to obtain physically meaningful results. They also proposed rigged Hilbert spaces to distinguish functionals and test functions [16]. This removed exponential blowup in negative time, but spatial exponential growth in complex eigenstate still remained.

In this article we develop a method to find physical meanings of complex eigenstates in all spacetime regions. We start from a specific decay model, called Friedrichs mdoel, which solutions and complex eigenstates are well known. Starting from the point that complex eigenstates are distributions and suitable test functions are needed to give corresponding physical meanings, we separate test function part from complex eigenstates and time evolution operator and look for suitable test functions which give physical meanings to complex eigenstate. It is shown that in this model Hardy class functions are related to the causality condition and by restricting test functions to Hardy class function below we con obtain physically meaningful regions of complex eigenstates.

II. MODEL

To study the physical meaning of complex eigenstates, we consider Friedrichs model which complex eigenstates are well known. This model describes a discrete system interacting with a continuous scalar field. The Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_F = \omega_1 |1\rangle\langle 1| + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk\; \omega_k |k\rangle\langle k| + \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk\; \bar{v}_k (|1\rangle\langle k| + |k\rangle\langle 1|) \tag{1}$$

where we put $c = \hbar = 1$. The state $|1\rangle$ represents the bare atom in its excited level with no field present, while the state $|k\rangle$ represents a bare field mode ("photon") of momentum k together with the atom in its ground state. In spatial representation

$$\langle x|k\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ikx} \tag{2}$$

which is delta normalized. The excited state is analogous to an unstable particle state, while the photon is analogous to decay products. The term $\lambda \bar{v}_k (|1\rangle\langle k| + |k\rangle\langle 1|)$ stands for the transition from 1 state to k state and from k state to 1 state.

The energy of the ground state is chosen to be zero; ω_1 is the bare energy of the excited level and $\omega_k \equiv |k|$ is the photon energy. λ is a small dimensionless coupling constant ($\lambda \ll 1$). We shall consider a specific form of the interaction potential

$$\bar{v}_k = \frac{1}{1 + (\omega_k/M)^2}. \tag{3}$$

The constant M^{-1} determines the range of the interaction and gives an ultraviolet cutoff. Other forms of potential (form factors) may be treated in a similar way.

From the dispersion relation $\omega_k = |k|$, the free-Hamiltonian eigenstates $|k\rangle$ and $|-k\rangle$ have the same eigenvalue ω_k. We remove this degeneracy by rewriting the Hamiltonian

$$H_F = \omega_1 |1\rangle\langle 1| + \int_0^{\infty} dk\; \omega_k (|S_k\rangle\langle S_k| + |A_k\rangle\langle A_k|) + \int_0^{\infty} dk\; \sqrt{2}\lambda \bar{v}_k (|1\rangle\langle S_k| + |S_k\rangle\langle 1|) \tag{4}$$

where

$$|S_k\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|k\rangle + |-k\rangle), \qquad |A_k\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|k\rangle - |-k\rangle). \tag{5}$$

From Eq. (4) we see that the discrete eigenstate $|1\rangle$ only interacts with the symmetric field eigenstate $|S_k\rangle$. From now on, we concentrate on only the discrete atom state and the symmetric field states of the Hamiltonian. Rewriting

$$|\omega\rangle \equiv |S_k\rangle, \quad v_\omega \equiv \sqrt{2}\bar{v}_{\omega_k}, \tag{6}$$

we get the atom-field interaction Hamiltonian

$$H \equiv H_0 + \lambda V \tag{7}$$

$$H_0 \equiv \omega_1 |1\rangle\langle 1| + \int_0^\infty d\omega\; \omega |\omega\rangle\langle\omega|, \;\; \lambda V \equiv \lambda \int_0^\infty d\omega\; v_\omega (|1\rangle\langle\omega| + |\omega\rangle\langle 1|). \tag{8}$$

It is written as

$$H = \omega_1 |1\rangle\langle 1| + \int_0^\infty d\omega\, \omega |\omega\rangle\langle\omega| + \int_0^\infty d\omega\, \lambda v_\omega (|1\rangle\langle\omega| + |\omega\rangle\langle 1|) \tag{9}$$

where the first term and second term represent discrete system and continuous field each, and third term represents their interactions. λ is coupling constants and v_ω represents interaction for each mode ω.

This Hamiltonian has an exact diagonalized form. When $\omega_1 > \int_0^\infty d\omega \lambda^2 v_\omega^2/\omega$, We can write

$$H = \int_0^\infty d\omega\omega |F_\omega^+\rangle\langle F_\omega^+| = \int_0^\infty d\omega\omega |F_\omega^-\rangle\langle F_\omega^-| \tag{10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |F_\omega^+\rangle &= |\omega\rangle + \frac{\lambda v_\omega}{\eta^+(\omega)}|1\rangle + \frac{\lambda v_\omega}{\eta^+(\omega)} \int_0^\infty d\omega' \frac{\lambda v_{\omega'} |\omega'\rangle}{\omega - \omega' + i\epsilon} \\ |F_\omega^-\rangle &= |\omega\rangle + \frac{\lambda v_\omega}{\eta^-(\omega)}|1\rangle + \frac{\lambda v_\omega}{\eta^-(\omega)} \int_0^\infty d\omega' \frac{\lambda v_{\omega'} |\omega'\rangle}{\omega - \omega' - i\epsilon} \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

with

$$\eta^\pm(z) \equiv z - \omega_1 - \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\lambda^2 v_\omega^2}{z^\pm - \omega} = z - \omega_1 - P\int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\lambda^2 v_\omega^2}{z - \omega} \pm i\pi\lambda^2 v_z^2 \tag{12}$$

where $P\int$ means Cauchy principal integral. We can choose + branch or − branch for the diagonalized solution. In Eq. (12), $1/(z^\pm - \omega)$ means that z is analytically continued from above (+) or below (−). For real z, it can be understood as $z^\pm \equiv z \pm i\epsilon$, where $\epsilon > 0$ is infinitesimal. These eigenstates satisfy the eigenvalue equation as well as the orthonormality and completeness relations

$$H|F_\omega^\pm\rangle = \omega |F_\omega^\pm\rangle, \quad \langle F_\omega^\pm | F_{\omega'}^\pm\rangle = \delta(\omega - \omega'), \quad \int_0^\infty d\omega\; |F_\omega^\pm\rangle\langle F_\omega^\pm| = 1. \tag{13}$$

It is noted in no discrete eigenstate is present. The discrete state $|1\rangle$ is represented as sum of continuum state and it decays into continuum if there is no initial field.

When complex eigenvalues are allowed, one can find complex eigenstates in this model. Explicit forms of complex eigenstates can be written as

$$|\phi_{z_1}\rangle = N_1^{1/2}\bigg(|1\rangle + \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\lambda v_\omega |\omega\rangle}{z_1^+ - \omega}\bigg) \tag{14}$$

$$\langle\phi_{z_1}| = N_1^{1/2}\bigg(\langle 1| + \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\lambda v_\omega \langle\omega|}{z_1^+ - \omega}\bigg) \tag{15}$$

and

$$|\phi_{z_1^{c.c}}\rangle = (N_1^{c.c})^{1/2}\bigg(|1\rangle + \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\lambda v_\omega |\omega\rangle}{(z_1^{c.c})^- - \omega}\bigg) \tag{16}$$

$$\langle\phi_{z_1^{c.c}}| = (N_1^{c.c})^{1/2}\bigg(\langle 1| + \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\lambda v_\omega \langle\omega|}{(z_1^{c.c})^- - \omega}\bigg). \tag{17}$$

In Eq. (14) - Eq. (17),

$$N_1 = \bigg(1 + \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\lambda^2 v_\omega^2}{(z_1^+ - \omega)^2}\bigg)^{-1} \tag{18}$$

and z_1 is a complex number on the lower half plane satisfying $\eta^+(z_1) = 0$. This complex eigenvectors have eigenvalues

$$H|\phi_{z_1}\rangle = z_1|\phi_{z_1}\rangle, \quad H|\phi_{z_1^{c.c}}\rangle = z_1^{c.c}|\phi_{z_1^{c.c}}\rangle \tag{19}$$

$$\langle\phi_{z_1}|H = \langle\phi_{z_1}|z_1, \quad \langle\phi_{z_1^{c.c}}|H = \langle\phi_{z_1^{c.c}}|z_1^{c.c} \tag{20}$$

If time evolution operator e^{-iHt} is applied to complex eigenvector $|z_1\rangle$, it gives $e^{-iz_1 t}$ factor which grows exponentially for negative time. Similarly time evolution operator acting on givesfactor which grows exponentially for positive time.

Exponential growth also appears in spatial domain. If $\langle x|z_1\rangle$ is considered, it yields

$$\langle x|\phi_{z_1}\rangle = N_1 \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\lambda v_\omega \langle x|\omega\rangle}{z_1^+ - \omega} \tag{21}$$

and its spatial feature is shown in figure 1. Exponential growth is shown as $|x|$ increases.

In this sense complex eigenstates have unphysical spacetime regions which are not shown in real time evolution. Next section we try to remove this unphysical features in spacetime by considering test function spaces.

III. TEST FUNCTION SPACES FOR COMPLEX EIGENSTATES

In this section we investigate the conditions for complex eigenstate and its test functions. For this purpose construction process of complex eigenstate is examined first.

In Friedrichs model, one can start complex eigenstate construction from the pole of S-matrix. From completeness relation is

$$\int_0^\infty d\omega |F_\omega^+\rangle\langle F_\omega^+| = \int_0^\infty d\omega |F_\omega^-\rangle S(\omega)\langle F_\omega^+| \tag{22}$$

where

$$S(\omega) = \frac{\eta^-(\omega)}{\eta^+(\omega)}. \tag{23}$$

This S-matrix has poles whenever $\eta^+(z) = 0$. To extract this pole contribution, one can change the integration contour such that

$$\int_0^\infty d\omega |F_\omega^-\rangle S(\omega)\langle F_\omega^+| = \oint_{z_1} d\omega |F_\omega^-\rangle S(\omega)\langle F_\omega^+| + \int_C d\omega |F_\omega^-\rangle S(\omega)\langle F_\omega^+|. \tag{24}$$

Here, $\oint_{z_1} d\omega$ means integration over a small circle centered at z_1 in clockwise direction. If $S(\omega)$ has a simple pole at z_1, this integration gives residue value at z_1. Explicitly

$$\begin{aligned}
&\oint_{z_1} d\omega |F_\omega^-\rangle S(\omega)\langle F_\omega^+| \\
&= \oint_{z_1} d\omega \left(|\omega\rangle + \frac{\lambda v_\omega}{\eta^-(\omega)}|1\rangle + \frac{\lambda v_\omega}{\eta^-(\omega)} \int_0^\infty d\omega' \frac{\lambda v_{\omega'}|\omega'\rangle}{\omega - \omega' - i\epsilon}\right) \frac{\eta^-(\omega)}{\eta^+(\omega)} \\
&\times \left(\langle\omega| + \frac{\lambda v_\omega}{\eta^-(\omega)}\langle 1| + \frac{\lambda v_\omega}{\eta^-(\omega)} \int_0^\infty d\omega' \frac{\lambda v_{\omega'}\langle\omega'|}{\omega - \omega' - i\epsilon}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Using residue theorem and making use of the fact

$$\eta^+(\omega) = \eta^-(\omega) + 2\pi i \lambda^2 v_\omega^2 \tag{26}$$

$$N_1 = \lim_{\omega\to z_1} \frac{\omega - z_1}{\eta^+(\omega)} \tag{27}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\oint_{z_1} d\omega |F_\omega^-\rangle S(\omega)\langle F_\omega^+| \\
&= \left(|1\rangle + \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\lambda v_\omega |\omega\rangle}{z_1 - \omega} - 2\pi i \lambda v_{z_1}|z_1\rangle \right) N_1 \left(\langle 1| + \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\lambda v_\omega\langle\omega|}{z_1 - \omega} - 2\pi i\lambda v_{z_1}\langle z_1| \right) \\
&= |\phi_{z_1}\rangle\langle\phi_{z_1}|.
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

A few points noted while deriving complex eigenstates. First complex eigensates are derived in dyad form, since it is derived from the completeness relation. Second the test functions which act on complex bra and ket should have analytically continuation from real energy domain.

The physical part of complex eigenstate can be traced by considering original complete set. Arbitrary product $\langle f|g\rangle$ expressed by complete set

$$\langle f|g\rangle = \int_0^\infty d\omega \langle f|F_\omega^+\rangle\langle F_\omega^+|g\rangle = \int_0^\infty d\omega \langle f|F_\omega^-\rangle S(\omega)\langle F_\omega^+|g\rangle = \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{h(\omega)}{\omega - z_1} \tag{29}$$

with

$$h(\omega) = (\omega - z_1)\langle f|F_\omega^-\rangle S(\omega)\langle F_\omega^+|g\rangle. \tag{30}$$

Now main question is which portions of $h(\omega)$ should be contained in complex eigenstate to be physically meaningful. For this purpose, let us first examine the above equation closely. When $h(\omega)$ is square integrable and its support is in $[0,\infty)$, it can be written as

$$\int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{h(\omega)}{\omega - z} = \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\omega \frac{h(\omega)}{\omega - z} = 2\pi i h(z_1)^{H-}. \tag{31}$$

This $h(z_1)^{H-}$ term is called Hardy class below part of $h(z_1)$, and has useful properties. Since the original complete set gives Hardy class below part of $h(\omega)$, it is quite tempting that we sue this class of functions as test functions. Before we go further it is desirable that the definition and properties of Hardy class functions are stated.

A. Hardy class functions on the real line

A complex function G(E) on the real line is a Hardy class function from above (below) if G(E) is the boundary value of an analytic function G(ω) in the upper (lower) half plane and

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty dE |G(\omega)|^p < \infty \tag{32}$$

for all ω in the upper (lower) half plane. Here we consider $p = 2$ cases since in quantum mechanics we deal with square integrable functions. The spaces of above or below Hardy class functions are denoted as H_+^2 or H_-^2.

Hardy class functions has important properties that can be quite useful for our constructions.

(1) If $G(\omega)$ is in $H^2_\pm$ then $G(\omega)$ on the real axis is uniquely determined by its values on the positive real axis.

(2) If $G(\omega)$ is in H^2_+ then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{G(\omega)}{\omega - z} = \begin{cases} G(z) & \text{for } Im[z] > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } Im[z] < 0 \end{cases}, \tag{33}$$

If $G(\omega)$ is in H^2_- then for all $Im[z] < 0$

$$\frac{-1}{2\pi i}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{G(\omega)}{\omega - z} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } Im[z] > 0 \\ G(z) & \text{for } Im[z] < 0 \end{cases} \tag{34}$$

(3) If $G_\pm(\omega)$ is in $H^2_\pm$, then the Fourier transform

$$\hat{G}_\pm(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{-i\omega t} G(\omega) \tag{35}$$

has the property

$$\hat{G}_+(t) = 0 \text{ for } t < 0 \tag{36}$$

$$\hat{G}_-(t) = 0 \text{ for } t > 0 \tag{37}$$

(Paley-Wiener Theorem)

(4) If $G(\omega)$ is in H^2_+, its complex conjugate is in H^2_- and vice versa.

The above properties gives quite simple way to decompose square integrable functions on the real line into Hardy class functions. One can write

$$f(\omega) = \frac{-1}{2\pi i}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega' \frac{f(\omega')}{\omega - \omega' + i\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega' \frac{f(\omega')}{\omega - \omega' - i\epsilon} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \delta(\omega - \omega') f(\omega') \tag{38}$$

for the positive infinitesimal ϵ. For square integrable functions, this relation holds if $f(\omega)$ is continuous (even if $f(\omega)$ is not continuous discontinuous points are in measure zero set it might be ignorable in physical situations). When the above relation can be defined, one can make Hardy class decomposition on the real line as

$$f(\omega) = f(\omega)^{H+} + f(\omega)^{H-} \tag{39}$$

TABLE I: Examples of Hardy class decompostion. $t > 0$ and z_1 is on the lower half plane.

$f(\omega)$	$f(\omega)^{H+}$	$f(\omega)^{H-}$
$\frac{e^{-i\omega t}}{\omega - z_1}$	$\frac{e^{-iz_1 t}}{\omega - z_1}$	$\frac{e^{-i\omega t} - e^{-iz_1 t}}{\omega - z_1}$
$\frac{e^{i\omega t}}{\omega - z_1}$	$\frac{e^{i\omega t}}{\omega - z_1}$	0

with

$$f(\omega)^{H+} = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega' \frac{f(\omega')}{\omega - \omega' + i\epsilon}, \tag{40}$$

$$f(\omega)^{H-} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega' \frac{f(\omega')}{\omega - \omega' - i\epsilon}. \tag{41}$$

Note that if ϵ is not infinitesimal then Hardy class functions above and below can be defined without any problem on the upper or lower half planes.

$$f(z)^{H+} = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega' \frac{f(\omega')}{z - \omega'} \quad \text{if } Im[z] > 0, \tag{42}$$

$$f(z)^{H-} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega' \frac{f(\omega')}{z - \omega'} \quad \text{if } Im[z] < 0. \tag{43}$$

The table shows examples of hardy class decomposition. One can see that Hardy class decomposition above (below) removes original function's divergence and singularities on the upper (lower) half plane.

One can also consider Hardy class operators using Eq. (40) and Eq. (41). If we define

$$f(\omega)^{H+} = [f(\omega)]^{H+} \equiv \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega' \frac{f(\omega')}{\omega - \omega' + i\epsilon} \tag{44}$$

$$f(\omega)^{H-} = [f(\omega)]^{H-} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega' \frac{f(\omega')}{\omega - \omega' - i\epsilon} \tag{45}$$

then we have operators which take $H+$ or $H-$ part of test functions. They are linear and orthogonal if test function's $H+$ and $H-$ parts are defined on the real line.

$$[af(\omega) + bg(\omega)]^{H\pm} = a[f(\omega)]^{H\pm} + b[g(\omega)]^{H\pm} \tag{46}$$

$$[f(\omega)^{H+}]^{H+} = [f(\omega)]^{H+}, \quad [f(\omega)^{H-}]^{H-} = [f(\omega)]^{H-} \tag{47}$$

$$[f(\omega)^{H-}]^{H+} = [f(\omega)^{H+}]^{H-} = 0 \tag{48}$$

Hardy class decompositions are frequently used in physics though its name is not often mentioned. When diverging denominator such as $1/(E-H)$ is regularized as $1/(E-H \pm i\epsilon)$, it means this distribution takes Hardy class above (below) part of test functions as seen in Eq. (40) and Eq. (41). Calculations of retarded and advanced Green's functions and Lippmann-Schwinger equation use this regularization.

The Hardy class function above (below) behaves very nicely in the upper (lower) half plane. It is analytic and monotonically decreases as the imaginary part of argument are increasing absolutely. This monotonic decreasing property can be associated with physical properties of test functions, like considerations of boundary conditions in retarded and advanced Green's function derivation. Next section we apply Hardy class test function conditions to our model Hamiltonian and examine its physical properties.

IV. HARDY CLASS TEST FUNCTIONS AND COMPLEX EIGENSTATES

In this section we apply Hardy class functions as complex eigenstate dyad and observe its physical properties. In Friedrichs model the complex eigenstate dyad is given by

$$|\phi_{z_1}\rangle\langle\phi_{z_1}| = N_1\biggl(|1\rangle + \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\lambda v_\omega |\omega\rangle}{z_1^+ - \omega}\biggr)\biggl(\langle 1| + \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\lambda v_\omega \langle\omega|}{z_1^+ - \omega}\biggr). \tag{49}$$

Applying $\langle f|$, e^{-iHt} and $|g\rangle$ on complex eigenstate dyad, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle f|e^{-iHt}|\phi_{z_1}\rangle\langle\phi_{z_1}|g\rangle \\ &= N_1\biggl(\langle f|1\rangle + \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\lambda v_\omega \langle f|\omega\rangle}{z_1 - \omega} - 2\pi i \lambda v_{z_1}\langle f|z_1\rangle\biggr) \\ &\times e^{-iz_1 t}\biggl(\langle 1|g\rangle + \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\lambda v_\omega \langle\omega|g\rangle}{z_1 - \omega} - 2\pi i \lambda v_{z_1}\langle z_1|g\rangle\biggr).\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

In Eq. (50) $\langle f|z_1\rangle$, $\langle z_1|g\rangle$ and $e^{-iz_1 t}$ give exponential divergences in spatial and time domain. To obtain physically meaningful regions these terms should be modified. Also it is desirable that complex eigenstate is not modified while obtaining physically meaningful results, otherwise we mix states with initial conditions.

Mathematically choosing Hardy class part is quite promising. Exponential divergence happens when field part of test functions are analytically continued from real ω to the complex z_1 in lower half plane. If Hardy class below parts are taken, they no longer diverges since $H-$ parts monotonically decreases as its imaginary part decreases and analytic in the lower half plane.

By expanding Eq. (50) and taking $H-$ parts only on test function part (thus keeping the form of complex eigenstates), the modified $\langle f|e^{-iHt}|\phi_{z_1}\rangle\langle\phi_{z_1}|g\rangle$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big[\langle f|\phi_{z_1}\rangle e^{-iHt}\langle\phi_{z_1}|g\rangle\Big]_{modified} \\
&= N_1\Big(\langle f|1\rangle + \int_0^\infty d\omega' \frac{\lambda v_{\omega'}\langle f|\omega'\rangle}{z_1-\omega'}\Big)[e^{-i\omega t}]_{z_1}^{H-}\Big(\langle 1|g\rangle + \int_0^\infty d\omega' \frac{\lambda v_{\omega'}\langle\omega'|g\rangle}{z_1-\omega'}\Big) \\
&+N_1(-2\pi\lambda v_{z_1})\,[\langle f|\omega\rangle e^{-i\omega t}]_{z_1}^{H-}\Big(\langle 1|g\rangle + \int_0^\infty d\omega' \frac{\lambda v_{\omega'}\langle\omega'|g\rangle}{z_1-\omega'}\Big) \\
&+N_1\Big(\langle f|1\rangle + \int_0^\infty d\omega' \frac{\lambda v_{\omega'}\langle f|\omega'\rangle}{z_1-\omega'}\Big)(-2\pi\lambda v_{z_1})[e^{-i\omega t}\langle\omega|g\rangle]_{z_1}^{H-} \\
&+N_1(-2\pi\lambda v_{z_1})^2[\langle f|\omega\rangle e^{-i\omega t}\langle\omega|g\rangle]_{z_1}^{H-} \qquad (51)
\end{aligned}$$

where $[F(\omega)]_{z_1}^{H-}$ means Hardy class below part of $F(\omega)$ at $\omega = z_1$.

In Eq. (51) $H-$ parts at z_1 are taken for four different terms; $e^{-i\omega t}$, $\langle f|\omega\rangle e^{-i\omega t}$, $e^{-i\omega t}\langle\omega|g\rangle$ and $\langle f|\omega\rangle e^{-i\omega t}\langle\omega|g\rangle$. Close examination reveals quite interesting physical meaning to Hardy class below parts.

$[e^{-i\omega t}]_{z_1}^{H-}$ is related to $|1\rangle\langle 1|$ which is projection operator to the discrete state. It yields

$$[e^{-i\omega t}]_{z_1}^{H-} = \Theta(t)\, e^{-iz_1 t} \qquad (52)$$

where

$$\Theta(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } t>0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{for } t=0 \\ 0 & \text{for } t<0. \end{cases} \qquad (53)$$

This shows exponential decay only for positive time (for $t = 0$ test function is constant and not normalizable). Exponential growth in negative time is removed and only decay to the future remains.

$[\langle f|\omega\rangle e^{-i\omega t}]_{z_1}^{H-}$ is related to $|z_1\rangle\langle 1|$ which is discrete to decaying field transition. Its physical meaning becomes clear when $\langle f|$ is chosen as $\langle x|$ with $x \neq 0$. For $x = 0$ case only $e^{-i\omega t}$ term remains and the result is the same as previous one. It yields

$$[\langle x|\omega\rangle e^{-i\omega t}]_{z_1}^{H-} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}(\Theta(t-|x|)\, e^{-iz_1(t-|x|)} + \Theta(t+|x|)\, e^{-iz_1(t+|x|)}) \qquad (54)$$

The result shows causality of decaying field. Only parts of incoming field $e^{-iz_1(t+|x|)}$ which can affect $|1\rangle$ for positive t are selected, which are in the region $t < -|x|$. For the outgoing field $e^{-iz_1(t-|x|)}$ only the parts which are produced by discrete state for positive t are selected.

$[e^{-i\omega t}\langle\omega|g\rangle]^{H-}_{z_1}$ is related to $|1\rangle\langle z_1|$ which is decaying field to discrete state transition. When $|g\rangle$ is chosen as $|x\rangle$ it yields the same result as $[\langle x|\omega\rangle e^{-i\omega t}]^{H-}_{z_1}$ since $\langle x|\omega\rangle$ is real.

$[\langle f|\omega\rangle e^{-i\omega t}\langle\omega|g\rangle]^{H-}_{z_1}$ is related to field to field transition. When $\langle f|$ and $|g\rangle$ are chosen as $\langle x_1|$ and $|x_2\rangle$, it yields

$$\frac{1}{4\pi}\Big(\Theta(t+|x_1|+|x_2|)\, e^{-iz_1(t+|x_1|+|x_2|)} + \Theta(t-|x_1|+|x_2|)\, e^{-iz_1(t-|x_1|+|x_2|)} + \Theta(t+|x_1|-|x_2|)\, e^{-iz_1(t+|x_1|-|x_2|)} + \Theta(t-|x_1|-|x_2|)\, e^{-iz_1(t-|x_1|-|x_2|)}\Big). \tag{55}$$

Causality relations are also shown in Eq. (55). Without loss of generality we can assume $|x_1| > |x_2|$. $e^{-iz_1(t+|x_1|+|x_2|)}$ shows decaying incoming wave for $|x_1|$. Its support is $t > -|x_1| - |x_2|$, and only parts of incoming field which can reach from $-|x_2|$ to $|x_1|$ (or from $|x_2|$ to $-|x_1|$) for $t > 0$ are selected. $e^{-iz_1(t-|x_1|+|x_2|)}$ shows decaying outgoing field for $|x_1|$. Its support is $t > |x_1| - |x_2|$, which is the time needed for a field at $|x_2|$ and $t > 0$ travels to $|x_1|$. On other hand, $e^{-iz_1(t+|x_1|-|x_2|)}$ is incoming wave for $|x_1|$. Its support is $t > -|x_1| + |x_2|$, which is the time that incoming wave at x_1 travels to $|x_2|$ for $t > 0$ so that transition from $|x_1|$ to $|x_2|$ is made. $e^{-iz_1(t-|x_1|-|x_2|)}$ is outgoing wave for $|x_1|$. Its support is $t > |x_1| + |x_2|$, which is the time needed for a field at $|x_2|$ and $t > 0$ travels to x_1.

All above relations show causality for both discrete state and field in regard to $t = 0$. The results show that choice of Hardy class functions as test functions of complex eigenstate selects only causal part of complex eigenstate and removes unphysical divergences.

V. CONCLUSION

We studied complex spectral representation and it test functions in Friedrichs model. The choice of Hardy class functions as test functions of complex eigenstate restores causality relations and removes divergences of complex eigenstate in spacetime domain.

Reference

---

[1] G. Gamow, Z. Phys. **51**, 204 (1928).

[2] P. T. Matthews and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. **112**, 283 (1958)

[3] P. T. Matthews and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. **115** 1079 (1959)

[4] p.141 in Proc. of the 1958 Annual Int. Conf.on High Energy Physics at CERN, Geneva, 30.6.-5.7.1958, Ed. B. Ferretti, (c) 1958 by CERN

[5] N. Nakanishi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **19**, 607 (1958).

[6] T. D. Lee, Phys. Rev. **95**, 1329 (1954).

[7] D. Brayshaw, Phys. Rev. **176**, 1885 1968

[8] J. Nuttall and H. L. Cohen, Phys. Rev. **188**, 1542 (1969)

[9] A. T. Stelbovics, Nucl. Phys. A **288** 461 (1978)

[10] E.C.G. Sudarshan, C. B. Chiu and V. Gorini, Phys. Rev. D **18**, 2914 (1978)

[11] A. Böhm and M. Gadella, *Dirac Kets, Gamow Vectors and Gelfand Triplets,* (Springer Lecture Notes on Physics, Vol. 348, Springer, New York, 1989).

[12] I. Antoniou, Z. Zuchanecki and S. Tasaki, in *Operator Theory and Dynamical Systems* edited by I. Antoniou and G. Lummer (Chapman and Hall, London, 1999) p. 130.

[13] T. Petrosky, I. Prigogine and S. Tasaki, Physica A **173**, 175 (1991).

[14] G. Ordonez, T. Petrosky and I. Prigogine, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 052106 (2001).

[15] N. Moiseyev, Phys. Rept. **302** 201 (1998)

[16] A. Bohm, *Quantum Mechanics,* (Springer-Verlag, 3rd edition, 1994)